Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,505	LEMICHEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer E. Graser	1645
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Jennifer E. Graser.	(3)	
(2) Robert Madsen- Applic's rep.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2009.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 2 and 15.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant agreed for the phrase "consisting of in claims 2 and 15 so that the</u> polypecifieds consist solely of the recibild frament and not folipane, for example,		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCS OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SECTION 7304, If a reply to be last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.		
/Jennifer E. Graser/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645		

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)